Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/701,067	TORKELSON ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Vickey Ronesi	1714
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>after non-final</u>		
(1) <u>Vickey Ronesi</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>David Taylor</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 16 January 2007	Time: <u>9:00</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
	•	
Claims discussed:		
•		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:		
Mr. Taylor authorized an examiner's amendment to add twin-screw pulverizer limitations to independent claims 1 and 19 and to cancel claims dependent claims 27-28.		
Part III.		
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview 		
did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.		
•		
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Lichen Rober		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)		